School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2016/17 s.y. School-based Grant - Programme Report

Name of School: Shau Kei Wan Government Secondary School

Project Coordinator: Mr TSOI Wing-keung

Contact Telephone No.: 2560 3544

A. The number of students (count by heads) benefitted under this programme is <u>118</u> (including A. <u>15</u> CSSA recipients, B. <u>53</u> SFAS full-grant recipients and C. <u>50</u> under school's discretionary quota).

B. Information on Activities under the Programme

*Name / Type of activity		tual no ticipa eligibl udent	ting e	Average attendance rate	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)	Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc)	Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)	
	A	В	C						,	
Instrumental Classes	0	12	9	Above 90%	September 2016 to July 2017	69,620	Teachers' Observation	School-based		
Summer Tutorial Class	4	15	10	Above 90%	August to September 2016	9,700	Scrutiny of Attendance Record and Teachers' Observation	School-based		
Bridging Programme	11	17	21	Above 90%	August to September 2016	7,900	Scrutiny of Attendance Record and Student Evaluation	School-based		
Chinese Orchestra	0	3	1	Above 90%	October 2016 to July 2017	12,000	Scrutiny of Attendance Record and Student Evaluation	School-based		
Archery	0	4	8	Above 90%	October 2016 to July 2017	6,660	Teachers' Observation	School-based		
Cross-curricular Study Tour to South Korea	0	2	1	100%	31 March 2017 to 3 April 2017	10,000	Reflections / Project before / upon return of Study Tour	School-based		
Total no. of activities: 6										
@No. of man-times	15	53	50		Takal Ermanas	115 000				
**Total no. of man-times	118				Total Expenses	115,880				

Note:

^{*} Name/type of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

[@] Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.

^{**} Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)

[#] Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (C).

C. Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

DI 4 6 6 72 1 4 4 1 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Improved			No		Not
Please put a "✓" against the most appropriate box.	Significant	Moderate	Slight	Change	Declining	Applicable
Learning Effectiveness				•		
a) Students' motivation for learning		✓				
b) Students' study skills		✓				
c) Students' academic achievement		✓				
d) Students' learning experience outside classroom	✓					
e) Your overall view on students' learning effectiveness		✓				
Personal and Social Development						
f) Students' self-esteem		✓				
g) Students' self-management skills		✓				
h) Students' social skills		✓				
i) Students' interpersonal skills		✓				
j) Students' cooperativeness with others		✓				
k) Students' attitudes toward schooling		✓				
1) Students' outlook on life		✓				
m) Your overall view on students' personal and social development		✓				
Community Involvement	1					
n) Students' participation in extracurricular and voluntary activities						✓
o) Students' sense of belonging						✓
p) Students' understanding on the community						✓
q) Your overall view on students' community involvement						✓

Comments on the project conducted D. Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project (You may tick more than one box) unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant); difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota; eligible students unwilling to join the programmes; the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory; tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory; the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload; complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB; the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming; Others (Please specify): Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they **E.** satisfied with the service provided? (optional)